"ALGORITHMIC ETHICS IN AUGMENTED JOURNALISM"

Research Paper

Fatima Khalid Farid, Liverpool John Moores University, United Kingdom, fatimakhalidfarid@gmail.com

"Abstract"

This study delves into the ethical ramifications of Artificial Intelligence (AI) integration in journalism, suggesting strategic frameworks for ensuring ethical and accountable AI usage in media. AI's role in journalism brings forth concerns of accountability, transparency, authorship, and biases (Diakopoulos, 2019; Carlson, 2015; O'Neil, 2016). Through a qualitative methodology, including discourse and content analysis, this research illuminates ethical dilemmas at the crossroads of media ethics and technological determinism (Boczkowski, and Anderson, 2017; Tandoc et. al., 2020). It unveils evolving ethical contours of AI-enhanced journalism, shedding light on broader societal shifts instigated by AI (Floridi et al., 2018; Lermann Henestrosa et al, 2023). The study proposes strategies for responsible AI application in media, contributing to scholarly discourse on media ethics, and providing insights for stakeholders navigating AI's ethical intricacies in journalism. The findings encourage further discourse on preserving ethical integrity in AI-driven journalism, bolstering public trust and reinforcing journalism's democratic essence in the digital epoch.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, AI, Ethics, Augmented Journalism, Algorithm.

1 Introduction

The 21st century ushered in remarkable technological advancements, notably the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) across sectors including journalism, significantly altering its traditional operational ethos (Castells, 2010; Carlson, 2019). The assimilation of AI transforms journalism, promising efficiency, scalability, and heightened sophistication in news production and dissemination (Broussard, 2018; Graefe, 2016). However, it introduces complex ethical challenges concerning transparency, objectivity, accuracy, privacy, accountability, and potential biases, requiring rigorous academic scrutiny (Diakopoulos, 2019; Carlson, 2019).

This study navigates the ethical quandaries arising from AI's confluence with journalism, aiming to deepen understanding of these issues and cultivate a responsible approach towards AI in journalism. Furthermore, it proposes strategies to guide ethical AI use in media, seeking a balance between leveraging AI's potential and upholding journalism's core principles (Dörr, 2016; Graefe, 2016).

1.1 Topic and context

The melding of AI into journalism signifies a profound transformation, redefining norms and practices. The rise of AI-generated content poses key ethical questions about transparency, accountability, and biases, necessitating a thorough exploration of these ethical nuances (Carlson, 2015; Dörr, 2016; Diakopoulos, 2019).

1.2 Focus and scope

Positioned at the nexus of AI and journalism, this study aims to clarify ethical challenges and understand their impact on journalistic practices. It suggests strategies for responsible AI use in media, aspiring to harness AI's benefits while adhering to core journalistic principles crucial for democracies.

1.3 Relevance and importance

The integration of AI in journalism unveils pressing ethical considerations with significant real-world implications for journalists, news organizations, AI developers, policymakers, and the public (Pariser, 2011; Thurman et al., 2020). This research endeavors to guide stakeholders through AI's ethical landscape in journalism, aiming to influence real-world media policies.

1.4 Aims, objectives and research questions

The primary aim is dual-pronged: to probe the ethical quandaries birthed by AI's melding into journalism, and chart viable strategies for ethical AI deployment in media. Two core research questions steer this inquiry:

1. What are the predominant ethical dilemmas engendered by the amalgamation of Artificial Intelligence within the journalistic domain, and what ramifications do they bear upon the profession?
2. What strategic frameworks could be envisaged to harmonize the technological prowess of Artificial Intelligence with the ethical imperatives of journalism, , ensuring a seamless transition to AI-driven journalism without sacrificing ethical integrity?

This study seeks to enhance AI ethics discourse in journalism, bridge technology-ethics gaps, comprehensively understand existing challenges, and provide a forward-looking perspective on solutions.

2 Literature Review

The chapter delves into the academic discourse surrounding AI integration in journalism, highlighting key themes and concerns from existing literature. The aim is to synthesize the current understanding of AI's role in journalism, evaluating ethical and social considerations stemming from this technological melding. By delineating recurring themes and identifying research gaps, it seeks to construct a conceptual framework encapsulating the multifaceted aspects of AI implementation in journalism. It illuminates extant knowledge, underscores domains ripe for further inquiry, and aspires to catalyze ensuing scholarly ventures in this emergent field.

2.1 Emergence of AI in journalism

The incorporation of AI in journalism signifies a transformative shift, with 93% of publishers leveraging AI (ZipDo, 2023). Initiated with automated journalism, as illustrated by The Washington Post's "Heliograf" during the 2016 Rio Olympics (Garber, 2016), AI facilitated swift article generation from structured data, notably benefiting sports and finance reporting. Post this, AI extended to news gathering, like Google's AI Hub aiding in unearthing overlooked textual patterns, and content personalization through algorithms like those in Outbrain's engine. AI's role in immersive storytelling is seen in tools like Graphiq (acquired by Amazon in 2017), enhancing data visualizations and reader interaction. Despite its advancements, AI raises concerns regarding algorithm transparency and potential biases, highlighting the critical balance between AI efficiency and the human essence of journalism, integral for ethical judgment and critical thinking. The harmonious blend of AI's technological prowess with journalists' ethical and critical capacities is fundamental for responsible journalism evolution, ensuring quality and ethical integrity in news content.

2.2 Ethical challenges: an uncharted terrain

Algorithmic transparency, bias abatement, and accountability are pressing ethical dilemmas unveiled by AI's fusion into journalism (Diakopoulos, 2019). The landscape is further complicated by concerns around authorship, automation, and neutrality (Gynnild, 2014; Fiesler and Proferes, 2018). Ensuring transparency in AI's decision-making is pivotal for trust and accountability, necessitating clear responsibility demarcation for AI-produced content (Wölker and Powell, 2021). The potential for algorithmic bias, mirroring training data prejudices, underscores the importance of regular audits for journalistic fairness (Diakopoulos, 2019). Authorship issues demand a transparent acknowledgment of both AI and human inputs, safeguarding journalistic integrity. Automation's possible job displacement effect is a notable ethical concern, highlighting the imperative to harmonize AI advancements with journalists' welfare (Dörr and Hollnbuchner, 2017). In sum, navigating these multifaceted ethical challenges calls for a concerted effort from news entities, researchers, and stakeholders to ensure ethical AI utilization in journalism, preserving its foundational values and societal contributions.

2.3 Societal implications: public trust and perception

Concerns like ‘filter bubbles’ limiting diverse perspectives impact public trust and perception significantly due to AI in journalism (Pariser, 2012). Public skepticism arises from the potential bias and lack of transparency in AI-generated news (Diakopoulos, 2019; Kreps et al., 2022). Ethical considerations, such as algorithmic bias and accountability, are crucial to uphold societal trust. The threat of misinformation, especially from AI tools, is a rising concern, with 76% of consumers apprehensive about AI-induced misinformation (Haan, 2023). Ensuring human judgment alongside AI, and robust fact-checking mechanisms are essential to combat misinformation (Whittaker, 2019; Douglas et al., 2017). Transparency, engagement with the public, and upholding ethical standards are imperative for navigating societal implications and fostering trust in AI journalism (Zuboff, 2019). Addressing these concerns is vital for maintaining public trust in an evolving media landscape, emphasizing the need for a balanced approach between AI technology and human intervention in journalism.

2.4 Gaps in the literature

The literature on AI in journalism reveals gaps needing further investigation, notably the comprehensive study of ethical issues like privacy and fairness (Ess, 2020), and societal impacts on public trust (Thurman et al., 2020). The interplay between legal and ethical dimensions remains underexplored, warranting research into how existing laws address AI's ethical challenges (Shovon et al., 2019). Additionally, there's a lack of tangible strategies for ethical AI journalism, with a need for clear guidelines on transparency, bias mitigation, and public comprehension of AI news (Graefe, 2016). Addressing these gaps can pave the way for informed, ethical AI journalism practices.

3 Methodology

3.1 Research design

Opting for secondary research due to ample existing resources on AI ethics in journalism, this study aims to delve into ethical considerations, broadening its scope by leveraging diverse scholarly insights (Clark et. al., 2021). This approach aims to identify ethical themes and trends in AI journalism efficiently, by analyzing already documented perspectives.

3.2 Research question and objectives

Centering on understanding ethical challenges of AI in journalism and devising mechanisms for ethical AI application, the study sets five objectives: reviewing literature, exploring specific ethical

dilemmas, assessing societal implications, reviewing legal frameworks, and developing ethical AI implementation strategies.

3.3 Research approach

Employing secondary research, this study explores AI's ethical considerations in journalism by analyzing existing literature (Hsieh and Shannon, 2005; Hart, 2018). This approach provides a broad view on AI's ethical dimensions, enhancing research depth through detailed scrutiny of pertinent scholarly materials (Boslaugh, 2009; Johnston, 2014).

3.4 Data collection and analysis

Prioritizing secondary data, a systematic approach is utilized for comprehensive literature collection from academic and industry databases (Clark et. al., 2021; Saunders et al, 2016). Employing carefully selected search terms and Boolean operators, this process ensures a robust and credible body of secondary data is collected (Booth et. al., 2021).

Through structured analysis, secondary data is meticulously reviewed to identify key ethical concepts of AI in journalism (Silverman, 2015; Braun and Clarke, 2006). This involves a thorough close reading, coding for themes, and iterative analysis to identify recurring patterns and trends, providing a nuanced understanding of the ethical dimensions.

3.5 Synthesis of findings

Post-analysis, findings are synthesized to form a cohesive narrative on AI's ethical implications in journalism (Creswell and Clark, 2017). Exploring themes in-depth and their interrelationships, this section aims to present a clear, concise report on core ethical challenges, stakeholder perspectives, and strategies for ethical AI use in journalism.

3.6 Limitations

Utilizing secondary research, this study acknowledges limitations such as dependency on the original studies' quality and relevance, potentially affecting its results (Silverman, 2015). The sole reliance on secondary data may constrain research breadth and depth, while the lack of primary research may hinder capturing recent developments or specific data directly addressing research questions (Clark et. al., 2021; Johnston, 2014). Despite these challenges, secondary research remains valuable for exploring broad topics like AI's ethical implications in journalism.

3.7 Ethical considerations

Even without primary data collection, ethical considerations like transparency, attribution, and responsibility are crucial (Braun and Clarke, 2006). Upholding academic integrity through proper citation and plagiarism avoidance respects intellectual property and supports academic rigor (Fishman, 2016; McCabe et al., 2001). Transparency ensures a clear representation of the research process and findings (Bowen, 2009). Rigor in research necessitates thoroughness and accuracy in data collection, analysis, and reporting, aiming for a socially relevant and academically rigorous output that considers various stakeholders' implications (Morse et al., 2002; Russell and Norvig, 2016).

4 Discussion

The fusion of Artificial Intelligence with journalism's ethos sparks rich dialogues, probing the ethical fabric of this union (Ward, 2018; Diakopoulos, 2019). This chapter delves into ethical dilemmas, societal implications, legal frameworks, and strategic solutions, shedding light on the complex interplay between AI and journalism's foundational ethics (Milosavljević and Vobič, 2021; Gillespie, 2018).

4.1 Ethical challenges

Navigating the modern journalism landscape, the introduction of AI surfaces an array of ethical challenges, transcending mere technological advancements. Discerning the ethical quandaries in pivotal dimensions like authorship, accountability, transparency, and biases within AI-driven journalism is instrumental for upholding the core tenets of integrity, credibility, and ethical responsibility in journalism.

Accountability in AI-driven journalism presents another layer of complexity. The autonomous nature of AI in content generation demands a re-examination of existing accountability frameworks. Flawed algorithms or biased training data can propagate misinformation, potentially affecting public trust and societal cohesion (O'Neil, 2016; Caliskan et al., 2017; Noble, 2018). Determining liability—whether it rests with AI developers, media outlets, human supervisors, or a collective responsibility—emerges as a significant concern (Carlson, 2015; Allcott and Gentzkow, 2017; Marwick and Lewis, 2019).

Transparency is paramount in an era where misinformation is rampant. The 'black box' nature of AI processes necessitates that media organizations demystify AI for audiences, promoting an environment of trust (Bucher, 2012; von. Eschenbach, 2021). Transparency standards align with ethical accountability, empowering audiences to critically engage with AI-generated content (Napoli, 2014; Diakopoulos, 2019).

A pressing concern is the potential biases embedded within AI systems. Biased *training data* could mirror societal prejudices, leading to unbalanced coverage or misrepresentation of marginalized groups (Noble, 2018). Moreover, AI's propensity to reinforce echo chambers and widen societal gaps accentuates the ethical imperative of addressing these biases (Brundage et al., 2018; Allcott and Gentzkow, 2017).

Navigating the ethical landscape necessitates a multidisciplinary approach as the infusion of AI in journalism challenges traditional concepts. Collaboration among technologists, media experts, legal minds, and ethicists is crucial to harness AI's potential while upholding journalism's core principles. This *integrative approach* aims to counter algorithmic biases, ensure fair revenue allocation, and maintain public trust in this *AI-centric journalism era*, signaling a proactive responsibility of both individual actors and the broader community of stakeholders.

4.2 Societal implications and public trust

A nuanced examination of societal repercussions and the fragile trust dynamics between the media and its audience is prompted by the fusion of AI with journalism. AI heralds unparalleled possibilities for content creation and distribution, yet it also unveils challenges, particularly regarding public trust, authenticity of AI-driven news, and the potential rise of deepfakes and misinformation.

Trust, the linchpin of journalism, is nurtured over time through truth and empathy. However, the advent of AI incites pivotal inquiries: Can machines sustain this trust and fulfill the ethical benchmarks of the profession? Especially with the surge of deepfakes, the role of AI in news mandates close inspection.

The crux of journalism lies in authenticity and human judgement, however, AI's entry brews skepticism, mainly around content authenticity (Si et al., 2020). Unlike traditional reporting which resonates with emotion and empathy, AI, fueled by data, may fall short of these human touches. Additionally, the potential oversimplification by AI due to contextual deficits and its "black box" nature exacerbate trust issues.

AI's undeniable prowess in content creation can, when misdirected, spawn misinformation, epitomized by deepfakes. These AI-engineered falsities muddy the waters between reality and fabrication, posing verification dilemmas (Citron and Chesney, 2019). Deepfakes have the power to skew democratic choices, tarnish reputations, and trigger conflicts, thereby shaking the trust axiom of "seeing is believing."

In news personalization, the potential of AI is prominently showcased. By dissecting user behavior and preferences, AI algorithms tailor content that amplifies user engagement and satisfaction. Yet, this hyper-personalization can inadvertently birth echo chambers, jeopardizing democratic dialogue and societal coherence (Pariser, 2011). As algorithms fine-tune user content, they risk excluding diverse perspectives, leading to a less collective dialogue and a society fragmented by unique information bubbles. Echo chambers, an unintended consequence of extreme personalization, act as silos, restricting exposure to varied opinions (Sunstein, 2017).

Stirring concerns around employment stability, the roles within the profession evolve as AI melds with journalism. AI shines in data analytics, supporting investigative reporting, yet it threatens routine news jobs (Milosavljević and Vobič, 2021). This transition necessitates journalists to morph from primary content creators to adept content curators. However, human expertise remains indispensable for narrative vetting and contextualization to uphold journalistic standards.

The rising focus on AI challenges the fundamental tenets of journalism. Economic pressures might drive media towards AI as a cost-curbing measure, risking sizable layoffs and stifling diverse voices in newsrooms (Ilzetzki and Jain, 2023; Cherubini et al., 2020). This shift could propel content homogenization, where algorithmic outputs lack the varied perspectives human journalists offer (Filimowicz, 2023).

AI, trained on historical data, might perpetuate existing biases, unintentionally reinforcing stereotypes without diverse oversight (Leavy et al, 2020). Furthermore, AI's scalability may sidestep hyper-local narratives, imperiling local journalism's essence and community focus (Nguyen and Hekman, 2022).

Incorporating AI into journalism unveils a profound transformation, both invigorating and unsettling the industry. This evolution engenders complex ethical quandaries around preserving public trust, ensuring narrative authenticity, and maintaining both ideological and job diversity in newsrooms. While AI's prowess in data analytics and content automation extends enticing prospects for augmenting efficiency and journalistic reach, it also unearths hazardous challenges like content homogenization, erosion of employment diversity, and potential societal fragmentation through personalized news.

To shield against AI’s inherent vulnerabilities in journalistic practice, which could disrupt public trust, undermine local journalism, and contribute to socio-cultural disparities, the industry must embrace a multi-faceted strategy that robustly leverages the technology's strengths. Reconciling AI’s transformative promise with journalism’s foundational principles necessitates a holistic approach that views AI as a complement rather than a replacement, ensuring the preservation of the ethical, socio-cultural, and democratic tenets that anchor the profession.

4.3 Strategies for responsible AI usage

During its integration, the rapid infusion of AI in journalism underscores a pressing need for responsible navigation. Central to this narrative is the call for algorithmic transparency, a foundational strategy aiming to preserve the ethical fabric of AI-driven journalism while bolstering its integrity (Bandy and Diakopoulos, 2021). Amidst the digital journalism epoch, algorithmic transparency emerges as a linchpin of trust. It transcends mere disclosure of technical processes, epitomizing a

commitment to uphold journalism's integrity and ethics amidst technological advancements (Napoli, 2014). The transparency imperative underlines a pathway towards rebuilding audience trust, a venture critical in an age where AI's "black box" nature potentially alienates audiences (Pasquale, 2015). Transparency fosters a conducive environment in newsrooms too, aligning technology with ethical journalistic practices (Thurman et al., 2019).

The framework of algorithmic transparency encompasses operational clarity, disclosing intention, and revealing data sources. Together, these dimensions paint a holistic picture of genuine transparency, addressing the "how" and "why" behind AI usage, and mitigating concerns surrounding biases in AI outcomes (Burrell and Fourcade, 2021; Gillespie, 2014; Eubanks, 2018). However, the path towards true AI transparency in journalism is riddled with hurdles. From proprietary constraints to the inherent complexity of certain AI models, achieving and maintaining transparency presents a formidable challenge (Diakopoulos, 2019; Tandoc and Seet, 2022).
Strategies to foster algorithmic transparency are pivotal. A layered disclosure framework, third-party audits, and feedback mechanisms stand as viable strategies to promote transparency, ultimately reinforcing journalistic trust and values (Diakopoulos, 2020; Eubanks, 2018; Araujo et al, 2020). Additionally, training sessions for media professionals ensure a deeper understanding of AI workings, enabling a skillful navigation of AI in journalism.

As we delve deeper into the digital journalism age, the confluence of human discernment with AI's analytical prowess heralds a paradigm shift. The ethos of this narrative emphasizes a symbiotic integration where AI amplifies rather than replaces human efforts, ensuring the indispensable human touch in crafting narratives remains intact (Gutierrez et al., 2023; Pavlik, 2023).

Regular third-party audits emerge as a robust mechanism to ensure the integrity of AI-driven journalism, addressing concerns stemming from AI's opaque nature and fostering trust in the digital journalism era (Eubanks, 2018). The impartial nature of third-party audits, devoid of organizational influence, underscores their critical role in validating AI-assisted news operations.

Furthermore, the essence of ethical and diverse training data is highlighted as a pivotal aspect for achieving objective AI journalism. A focus on ethical data sourcing and usage ensures AI outputs mirror societal complexities, encapsulating accuracy, fairness, and representation (Benjamin, 2019).

Lastly, a continuous feedback mechanism is proposed as a dynamic loop in AI journalism, emphasizing the significance of audience feedback in enhancing AI tool efficacy (Shin et. al., 2022; Moeller et. al. 2023). The active participation of audiences, facilitated through direct engagement platforms and sustained engagement strategies, fosters a culture of feedback, ensuring AI responsiveness to public sentiment and changing news consumption habits (Bodó, B. et al., 2019; Moeller, J. et al., 2023).

In summary, as AI becomes increasingly interwoven with journalism, an array of strategies encompassing algorithmic transparency, human-AI collaboration, regular audits, ethical training data, and continuous feedback mechanisms are crucial to navigate the complex landscape. These measures collectively aim to preserve the journalistic values of integrity, trust, and public accountability in the evolving domain of AI-assisted journalism.

5 Conclusion and Recommendations

Summarising the study's exploration of the nuanced intersection between AI and journalism, aiming to harmonize technological advancements with journalistic ethics. It synthesizes key findings, contextualizes them within broader academic discourse, and outlines future exploration avenues, thus accentuating the research's contributions to media studies and AI-driven journalism (Eubanks, 2018;

Nelson, 2021). Through examining existing literature, a multidimensional understanding of AI in journalism was sought, particularly highlighting the underexplored nexus between technological capabilities and journalistic ethics (Couldry, 2012; Latour, 2007). This research challenges current paradigms, proposes frameworks for responsible AI use in journalism, and aims to serve as a foundation for further studies in this domain. Lastly, a roadmap of recommendations for future investigations is provided, aiming to spark subsequent research and enrich the ongoing scholarly dialogue on this topic (Shin et al., 2022).

5.1 Recapitulation and contextualization

Rooted in a qualitative methodological approach, this research combines discourse, thematic, and content analysis. It is enriched by an extensive review of secondary data and aligns with the multifaceted research objectives (Creswell, 2017). Utilizing Gee's framework (2014) for discourse analysis, it delves into linguistic patterns in journalistic texts, critiquing underlying meanings and ideologies concerning AI in journalism (Fairclough, 2013; Dörr, 2021). Following Krippendorff's guidelines (2018), the integration of content and thematic analysis unveils recurring themes on ethical AI implications in journalism (Neuendorf, 2016; Thomas, 2006; Sambasivan, N. et al., 2021). A thorough review of secondary data ensures real-world relevance, leading to a nuanced understanding of ethical challenges in AI journalism (Clark, *et al.*, 2021). Guided by the central question on ethical challenges posed by AI in journalism, the study meticulously explores these facets, setting a robust foundation for discussing key findings, implications, and future directions in the concluding chapter.

5.2 Summary of key findings

Advocating for advanced third-party audits ensures AI's adherence to journalistic ethics, thereby bolstering media integrity (Lixiang, 2023). Transparency is underscored as a crucial ethical obligation in AI-driven journalism, directly impacting audience trust (Eubanks, 2018; Couldry, 2012). The critical role of ethical and diverse training data is highlighted, as it significantly mitigates biases and affects news quality, advocating for a more equitable journalism practice (Benjamin, 2019). Additionally, the study reflects on the reciprocal trust relationship between media and audiences, emphasizing that audience engagement leads to more relevant content, and hence, enhanced trust (Shin et al., 2022; Belair-Gagnon et. al., 2019; Vos and Thomas, 2023). These key findings collectively suggest a holistic approach towards integrating AI in journalism, laying a robust foundation for future explorations in this domain.

5.3 Contributions to the field

Addressing a notable void in media research, this study delves into the intricate relationship between AI and journalism. It extends its impact into broader AI technology domains, proposing robust frameworks for AI audits and ethical data collection (Jones et al., 2022). It also delves into a social science perspective, urging a view of AI as an integral part of the socio-cultural milieu, inspired by sociological theorists like Latour (2007). By doing so, it transcends a techno-centric dialogue, offering a humanistic lens to AI's societal implications. This work doesn't merely contribute to *journalism* but opens up discussions in various sectors facing ethical challenges posed by AI. It sets a foundation for future research, policy considerations, and societal debates, aiming to negotiate our complex information ecosystems in an ethical, informed manner, making it a significant multidisciplinary contribution to the discourse on AI, media, and society.

5.4 Implications

In terms of theoretical significance this research enriches media studies by broadening its scope to encapsulate ethical dimensions of AI in journalism, extending discussions initiated by scholars like Couldry (2012). It goes beyond existing narratives by bringing to light practical considerations of AI ethics in journalistic realms, aligning with Schudson's (2003) advocacy for a wider scholarly examination. The thesis also propels AI ethics discourse into nuanced territories, emphasizing ethically managed training data and algorithmic audits, resonating with the urgency highlighted by Bodó et al. (2019). This echoes Mittelstadt's (2019) call for a comprehensive ethical roadmap for AI applications across sectors. Significantly, this work exemplifies interdisciplinary study, blending media theory, computer science, and ethics. It aligns with Latour's (2007) 'hybrid studies' concept, advocating for a fused technical and social perspective in academia, serving as a blueprint for tackling challenges posed by advanced technology integration into societal domains.

On a practical front, the implications are vast and vital for journalists, news organizations, AI developers, and society. Journalists are urged to delve deeper into the ethical realms of AI tools, advocating for transparency in AI processes to enhance public trust, a sentiment echoed by Ward (2018). For news organizations, the study underscores the importance of routine third-party audits for ethical alignment (Lin and Lewis, 2022), and prioritizing audience engagement to improve news quality and trustworthiness (Shin et al., 2022). Strategically, the emphasis is on ongoing education to navigate AI's ethical challenges, aligning with Carlson's (2018) and Ward's (2018) assertions. AI developers are encouraged to adopt a human-centered design approach, considering multi-stakeholder perspectives, especially from marginalized communities, to ensure equitable technology application (Benjamin, 2019).

The societal implications are broad, revolving around enhancing understanding of AI in journalism to foster critical media literacy towards AI-produced content. This aligns with Diakopoulos (2019) and Napoli (2020), who stress on the necessity of informed public discourse to demand transparency and ethical considerations from news bodies. Lastly, the research advocates for a collective responsibility among all stakeholders in ensuring ethical AI practices in journalism, resonating with Gillespie's (2018) and Diakopoulos' (2019) call for a synergistic approach to mitigate potential negative impacts of AI, thereby promoting an ethically robust and beneficial journalistic ecosystem.

This research underscores significant policy implications concerning media regulation and AI governance. It suggests regulatory bodies mandate transparency disclosures in AI journalism, drawing on the research's emphasis on transparency to uphold ethical and transparent press practices (Eubanks, 2018; Wasserman and Madrid-Morales, 2018). The findings advocate for standardized third-party audit protocols for AI algorithms, especially in journalism, to counter potential ethical breaches (Moeller et al., 2023; Benjamin, 2019). This study emphasizes cross-sector collaboration among media entities, AI developers, and policymakers for well-rounded policy-making, enhancing mutual benefit and understanding (McQuail, 2010; Roberts et. al. 2023). Moreover, the research's multidisciplinary nature extends policy implications beyond journalism to sectors like healthcare, proposing universal ethical data collection and audit frameworks (Bodó et al., 2019). It also highlights the importance of involving multi-stakeholder perspectives, especially from marginalized communities, in policy development for a democratic digital ecosystem (Benjamin, 2019; Siebert et al., 1956). In sum, the policy recommendations herein offer a robust roadmap for regulatory bodies aiming to foster ethical AI practices in journalism and other sectors, driving toward a more transparent and equitable media landscape.

5.5 Recommendations for future research

Laying out a roadmap for future research, endeavoring to broaden the understanding of AI's intersection with journalism. It encourages further exploration of AI auditing models, specifically

desiring a more detailed approach that encompasses various stakeholders, especially marginalized communities affected by AI biases (Benjamin, 2019). This might lead to socially just auditing models enhancing ethical standards in AI journalism and other sectors.

It also sees a critical research avenue in AI tools capable of producing counter-narratives to combat misinformation and polarization, especially in the era of 'fake news' and algorithm-driven echo chambers (Bodó et al., 2019). This positions AI as a potential promoter of democratic dialogue, reducing media-induced divides.

Moreover, it highlights the need for a more global perspective, as most existing literature, including this thesis, predominantly reflects Western viewpoints (Couldry, 2012). Examining AI journalism in non-Western societies could foster AI models respecting cultural nuances and offer a more holistic understanding.

The recommendations underline the value of longitudinal comparative studies to delve deeper into the long-term effects of audience engagement on AI-generated content's credibility (Shin et al., 2022). This could provide richer insights into trust dynamics and the iterative refinements in AI systems based on audience feedback over time.

By delving into these areas, future researchers can not only refine the understanding of AI in journalism but also contribute significantly to broader domains like AI ethics, social sciences, and technology studies, thereby enriching the academic discourse in this rapidly evolving field.

References

Allcott, H., and Gentzkow, M. (2017) 'Social Media and Fake News in the 2016 Election' *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 36(2), 211-236.

Belair-Gagnon, V., Nelson, J. L. and Lewis, S. C. (2019) 'Audience Engagement, Reciprocity, And the Pursuit of Community Connectedness in Public Media Journalism', *Journalism Practice*, 13(5), pp. 558–575. Doi: 10.1080/17512786.2018.1542975.

Benjamin, R. (2019) 'Race After Technology: Abolitionist Tools For The New Jim code', Medford: Polity press, 172 pages. eISBN: 9781509526437

Bodó, B. et al. (2019) 'Interested In Diversity: The Role Of User Attitudes, Algorithmic Feedback Loops, And Policy In News Personalization', *Digital Journalism*, 7(2), pp. 206–229. Doi: 10.1080/21670811.2018.1521292.

Brundage, M. et al. (2018) 'The Malicious Use of Artificial Intelligence: Forecasting, Prevention, And Mitigation', arXiv [cs.AI]. Doi: 10.48550/arXiv.1802.07228.

Bucher, T. (2012) 'Want to Be on The Top? Algorithmic Power and The Threat of Invisibility on Facebook'. *New Media and Society*, 14(7), 1164–1180. Doi: 10.1177/1461444812440159.

Caliskan, A., Bryson, J. J. and Narayanan, A. (2017) 'Semantics Derived Automatically from Language Corpora Contain Human-Like Biases', *Science* (New York, N.Y.), 356(6334), pp. 183–186. Doi: 10.1126/science.aal4230.

Carlon, M. (2015) 'The Robotic Reporter: Automated Journalism and The Redefinition of Labour', Compositional Forms, And Journalistic Authority, *Digital Journalism*, 3(3), 416-431. Doi: 10.1080/21670811.2014.976412.

Carlson, M. (2018) 'Automating Judgment? Algorithmic Judgment, News Knowledge, And Journalistic Professionalism', *New Media and Society*, 20(5), pp. 1755–1772. Doi: 10.1177/1461444817706684.

Cherubini, F., Newman, N., and Nielsen, R. K. (2020) 'Changing Newsrooms 2020: Addressing Diversity and Nurturing Talent at A Time of Unprecedented Change', Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism [online]. Available at: https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/changing-

newsrooms-2020-addressing-diversity-and-nurturing-talent-time-unprecedented-change (Accessed on: 21 August 2023)

Citron, D. K., and Chesney, R. (2019) 'Deep Fakes: A Looming Challenge for Privacy, Democracy, And National Security', *California Law Review*, 107(6), 1753 [online]. Available at: https://scholarship.law.bu.edu/faculty_scholarship/640/ (Accessed on: 21 August 2023)

Clark, T. et al. (2021) *Bryman's social research methods*, 6th ed. London, England: Oxford University Press.

Couldry, N. (2012) M*edia, society, world: social theory and digital media practice*, Cambridge, MA: Polity Press.

Creswell, J.W. and Clark, V.L.P., (2017). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research*, 3rd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage publications.

Diakopoulos, N. (2019) A*utomating the news: how algorithms are rewriting the media*, Illustrated edition, London: Harvard University Press.

Diakopoulos, N. (2020) *Transparency*, In Markus Dirk Dubber, Frank Pasquale, Sunit Das (Ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of Ethics of AI*, New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Dörr, K. (2021) 'Artificial Intelligence and Ethical Journalism', In: Poff, D.C., Michalos, A.C. (eds) *Encyclopedia of Business and Professional Ethics*, Springer, Cham, Doi:10.1007/978-3-319-23514-1_1229-1.

Eubanks, V. (2018) 'Automating Inequality: How High-Tech Tools Profile, Police, and Punish the Poor', St. Martin's Publishing Group.

Fairclough, N., (2013) *Critical discourse analysis: the critical study of language*, Abingdon: Routledge.

Filimowicz, M. (2023) 'AI and The Future of Creative Work: Algorithms and Society', Edited by Michael Filimowicz. London: Taylor and Francis.

Gee, J. P. (2014) 'An Introduction to Discourse Analysis: Theory and Method', London: Taylor and Francis.

Gillespie, T. (2018) 'Custodians of the Internet: Platforms, Content Moderation, and the Hidden Decisions That Shape Social Media', New Haven: Yale University Press, Doi: 10.12987/9780300235029.

Ilzetzki, E. and Jain, S. (2023) 'The Impact Of Artificial Intelligence On Growth And Employment', VoxEU. org, 20.

Jones, B., Jones, R. and Luger, E. (2022) 'AI 'Everywhere and Nowhere': Addressing the AI Intelligibility Problem in Public Service Journalism', Digital Journalism, 10(10), pp. 1731–1755. Doi: 10.1080/21670811.2022.2145328.

Krippendorff, K., (2018). 'Content Analysis: An Introduction to its Methodology', Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Doi: 10.4135/978107187878.

Latour, B. (2007) 'Reassembling the Social: An Introduction to Actor-Network-Theory', OUP Oxford.

Leavy, S., O'Sullivan, B. and Siapera, E. (2020) 'Data, Power and Bias In Artificial Intelligence [online], Available at: http://arxiv.org/abs/2008.07341 (Accessed: 25 October, 2023).

Lin, B. and Lewis, S. C. (2022) 'The One Thing Journalistic AI Just Might Do for Democracy', *Digital Journalism*, 10(10), pp. 1627–1649. Doi: 10.1080/21670811.2022.2084131.

Lixiang, W. (2023) 'Exploring the Role of Media Scrutiny in Algorithmic Auditing', *Academic Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, 6(2). Doi: 10.25236/ajhss.2023.060220.

Longworth, J., 2021. Benjamin Ruha (2019) 'Race After Technology: Abolitionist Tools for the New Jim Code', Medford: Polity Press. 172 pages. eISBN: 9781509526437. Science and Technology Studies, 34(2), pp.92-94.

Marwick, A. E. and Lewis, R. (2017) 'Media Manipulation And Disinformation Online', Data and Society Research Institute [online]. Available at: https://apo.org.au/node/135936 (Accessed: 27 October, 2023).

McQuail, D., (2010) 'The Future of Communication Studies: A Contribution to The Debate', Media and communication studies interventions and intersections, 27.

Milosavljević, M., and Vobič, I. (2021) 'Human Still in the Loop. In Algorithms, Automation', and News (1st Edition, pp. 119–137). Routledge.

Mittelstadt, B., (2019) 'Principles Alone Cannot Guarantee Ethical AI', Nature machine intelligence, 1(11), pp.501-507.

Moeller, J. et al. (2023) 'Out Of Control?', in News Quality in the Digital Age. Routledge. Doi: 10.4324/9781003257998-11

Napoli, P. M. (2014) 'Automated Media: An Institutional Theory Perspective on Algorithmic Media Production and Consumption: Automated Media', Communication theory: CT: a journal of the International Communication Association, 24(3), pp. 340–360. Doi: 10.1111/comt.12039.

Napoli, P. M. and Graf, F. (2020) 'Social Media Platforms as Public Trustees: An Approach to The Disinformation Problem', TPRC48: The 48th Research Conference on Communication, Information and Internet Policy. Available at: https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3748544. [Accessed: 17 October, 2023].

Nelson, J. L. (2021) 'The Journalist–Audience Relationship', Imagined Audiences. London: Oxford University Press, pp. 11–26.

Neuendorf, K.A., (2016) 'The Content Analysis Guidebook', 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Nguyen, D., and Hekman, E. (2022) 'The News Framing of Artificial Intelligence: A Critical Exploration of How Media Discourses Make Sense of Automation', AI and Society. Doi: 10.1007/s00146-022-01511-1

Noble, S. U. (2018) 'Algorithms of Oppression: How Search Engines Reinforce Racism', NYU Press. Doi: 10.2307/j.ctt1pwt9w5

O'Neil, C. (2016) 'Weapons of Math Destruction', New York: NY, Crown.

Pariser, E. (2012) 'The Filter Bubble: What the Internet Is Hiding from You', Harlow, England: Penguin Books.

Roberts, H. and Babuta, A. and Morley, J. and Thomas, C. and Taddeo, M. and Floridi, L (2023) 'Artificial Intelligence Regulation In The United Kingdom: A Path To Good Governance And Global Leadership?', Internet Policy Review, 12(2). Doi: 10.14763/2023.2.1709

Sambasivan, N. et al. (2021) 'Everyone Wants to Do the Model Work, Not the Data Work: Data Cascades in High-Stakes AI', in Proceedings of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. New York, NY, USA: ACM.

Schudson, M., (2003) 'The New Journalism' (pp. 138-45). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Shin, D. et al. (2022) 'Countering Algorithmic Bias and Disinformation and Effectively Harnessing the Power of AI in Media', *Journalism and Mass Communication*, 99(4), pp. 887–907. Doi: 10.1177/10776990221129245.

Shin, D., Zaid, B., et al. (2022) 'In Platforms We Trust?Unlocking The Black-Box Of News Algorithms Through Interpretable AI', Journal Of Broadcasting and Electronic Media, 66(2), pp. 235–256. doi: 10.1080/08838151.2022.2057984.

Si, Y., Wang, J., Dong, X., Wu, G., Zhong, Z., He, G., and Chen, F. (2020) 'Online News Credibility Evaluation with Bayes Model', 2020 6th International Conference on Big Data and Information Analytics (BigDIA), 340–346.

Siebert, F., Peterson, T. and Schramm, W., 1(956) 'Four Theories of The Press: The Authoritarian, Libertarian, Social Responsibility, And Soviet Communist Concepts of What the Press Should Be and Do' (Vol. 10). University of Illinois press.

Sunstein, C. R. (2017) 'A Prison of Our Own Design: Divided Democracy in The Age of Social Media', Democratic Audit UK [online]. Available at: https://eprints.lse.ac.uk/80411/ (Accessed on: 21 September, 2023)

Thomas, D. R. (2006) 'A General Inductive Approach for Analysing Qualitative Evaluation Data', *The American Journal of Evaluation*, 27(2), pp. 237–246. Doi: 10.1177/1098214005283748.

Vos, T. P. and Thomas, R. J. (2023) 'They're Making It More Democratic': The Normative Construction of Participatory Journalism', *Digital Journalism*, pp. 1–25. Doi: 10.1080/21670811.2023.2174893.

Ward, S.J., (2018). 'Disrupting Journalism Ethics: Radical Change On The Frontier Of Digital Media', Routledge.

Wasserman, H. and Madrid-Morales, D., (2018). 'Fake News, Disinformation and Media Trust in Africa: A Comparative Study of Kenya, Nigeria and South Africa', In ICAfrica Biennial Conference.